



*farnet*  
fisheries areas network

# How to programme CLLD in the post-2020 EMFF

Meeting of EMFF Managing Authorities

8-9 June 2020

Jean-Pierre Vercruysse



# Introduction

- Aims at helping MAs preparing to programme CLLD in the post-2020 EMFF
  - List of elements important for CLLD
  - Try to find the best place in the OP template
- Based on FARNET's assessment of issues with CLLD implementation 2014-2020
- Uses “Annotated template” presented in EMFF Expert Group meeting 14 June 2019
  - OP template common to all Funds under CPR
  - Not very adapted to CLLD

# Programme strategy

First text field covers the whole programme

## Role of CLLD clearly identified

- Policy response to specific challenges
- Objectives covering
  - Fisheries and aquaculture
  - Blue Growth
- Leaves scope for
  - Flexibility and innovation from the local level
  - Fast adaptation to potential emergency

# 1. Programme strategy -Table 1A (1)

## CLLD under Policy Objective 5 – Priority 3

### SWOT analysis of coastal communities

- Refers to past experience/ lessons/ evaluation of CLLD
- Developed in consultation with fisheries-dependent communities, FLAGs and other stakeholders
- Covers issues at different levels
  - Territorial/landbased
  - CFP
  - Maritime

### Identification of the needs of coastal communities

- Based on SWOT
- Explain how needs were identified and prioritised
  - Political process

# 1. Programme strategy -Table 1A (2)

## Justification

- Express clearly objectives for CLLD
  - Leaves scope for
    - Flexibility and innovation from the local level
    - Fast adaptation to potential emergency
- Explain how CLLD will allow targeting support to the needs identified

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (1)

### Selection of FLAGs and strategies

- Present here procedure, criteria and timeline
- Remember
  - FLAG selected and able to “fulfil their tasks set out in Article 27(3) within 12 months of programme approval” (CPR Article 26.3)
- Advance payments foreseen?



# QUESTIONS?

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (2)

# Implementation arrangements

- Present breakdown between 3 types of intervention
  - Preparatory actions
  - Implementation of strategy
  - Animation and management
- Further tasks delegated to the FLAGs?
  - FLAGs designated as Intermediate Bodies
- Indicate sources of co-financing if possible



## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (3)

### Multi-fund strategies

- Explain how the joint call for selection of Local Groups will be organised
- Explain how a joint Committee to monitor implementation will be set-up

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (4)

### Lead Fund

#### **EMFF is not the “Lead Fund”:**

- Present implementation arrangements between Funds
- How will the interest of fisheries communities be protected?

#### **EMFF is the “Lead Fund”:**

- Present administrative arrangements for:
  - Selection of local groups
  - Eligibility checks
  - Payments
  - Reporting

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (5)

### The main target groups

Information on the communities benefiting from CLLD

- Communities dependent of fisheries and aquaculture
- The wider community in the areas

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (6)

### Specific territories targeted - eligible areas

- CLLD focused on “subregional” areas
  - Subregional not defined
  - Former threshold: 10.000 to 150.000 inhabitants
- Indication on the number of FLAGs
  - Expected number of FLAG areas must allow for a viable budget per FLAG
    - See budget for CLLD under section 3. Financial information

## 2. Priorities – Intervention of the Funds (7)

### Interregional and transnational actions

- FLAGs must be able to implement cooperation activities
  - Not an obligation for individual FLAGs
- Cooperation projects:
  - No separate budget
    - Part of Local Development Strategy
  - Selected exclusively by the FLAGs

# QUESTIONS?

# Indicators (1)

## Table 2: Output indicator

- Total number of operations expected under area of support article 26 EMFF, including:
  - Number of preparatory support grants if applicable
  - Total number of projects to be supported by the FLAGs
    - Based on previous experience
    - Including cooperation projects
  - Number of operations related to animation and running costs
    - Same as number of FLAGs
- Milestone for 2024 and target for 2029

# Indicators (2)

**Table 3: Result indicators**

**Short list proposed (to be validated)**

1. Jobs created
2. Jobs maintained
3. Businesses created
4. Businesses with higher turnover
5. Innovations enabled
6. Cooperation activities between stakeholders
7. Actions contributing to Good Environmental Status
8. Persons benefiting
9. Actions to improve governance capacity
10. Entities increasing social sustainability

**Targets for 2029 for each result indicator**



# Table 2.T. Technical assistance priority

## National Network of FLAGs

- If a national network is foreseen to support the FLAGs implement CLLD
  - Description of support activities
  - Realistic timeline and budget

# Table 3.2 Financial appropriations

Budget under Priority 3 covers both CLLD and Marine Knowledge

- No breakdown in the OP
- Use historical figures to assess budget for both strands
- Around 3 MEUR total public budget per FLAG for the whole period
  - Covering both implementation of strategy and running costs
- Provision of preparatory support grants

# 5. Programme authorities

## Exclusive tasks of the FLAGs:

- Capacity building of local actors
- Selection procedure and criteria
- Prepare and publish calls for proposals
- Select operations and fix the amount of support
- Monitor progress of the strategy
- Evaluate the implementation of the strategy

## **MA responsible for final verification of eligibility**

### **If additional tasks delegated:**

- FLAGs designated as Intermediate Bodies
- Arrangements in writing

# 8. Use of SCOs

**Many options, for example:**

- Flat rate for the management and animation costs
- Lumpsums for preparatory support
- Draft Budget option for projects

# QUESTIONS?